

## Linear Programming & Theory of Games.

### Theorems/Results on Simplex Method & Duality

#### Theorem 1- Improved Basic Feasible Solution.

Statement- Let  $\bar{x}_B$  be a basic feasible solution to the L.P.P. : Maximize  $Z = \bar{c}\bar{x}$  subject to  $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ ;  $\bar{x} \geq 0$ .

Let  $\hat{x}_B$  be another basic feasible solution obtained by admitting a non-basis column vector  $\hat{a}_j$  in the basis, for which the net evaluation  $z_j - c_j$  is negative. Then,  $\hat{x}_B$  is an improved basic feasible solution to the problem, that is,

$$\hat{c}_B \hat{x}_B > \bar{c}_B \bar{x}_B$$

Proof- The L.P.P. is to determine  $\bar{x}$ , so as to Maximize  $Z = \bar{c}\bar{x}$ ;  $\bar{c}, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , subject to the constraints :  $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$  and  $\bar{x} \geq 0$ ,  $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  real matrix.

We are given that,  $\bar{x}_B$  is a basic feasible solution. Let  $Z_0 = \bar{c}_B \cdot \bar{x}_B$ .

Let  $\hat{a}_j$  be the column vector introduced in the basis, such that  $z_j - c_j < 0$ .

Let  $b_i$  be the vector removed from the basis & let  $\hat{x}_B$  be the new basic feasible solution. Then,

$$\hat{x}_{Bi} = a_{Bi} - \frac{y_{ij}}{y_{rj}} \quad \text{&} \quad \hat{x}_{Bj} = \frac{\bar{b}_j}{y_{rj}}$$

Teacher's Signature:

Thus, The new value of the objective function is,

$$\hat{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{c}_{Bi} \cdot \hat{x}_{Bi}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{c}_{Bi} \left( \bar{x}_{Bi} - \bar{x}_{Br} \cdot \frac{y_{ij}}{y_{rj}} \right) + \hat{c}_{Br} \frac{x_{Br}}{y_{rj}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{c}_{Bi} \left( \bar{x}_{Bi} - \bar{x}_{Br} \frac{y_{ij}}{y_{rj}} \right) + c_j \cdot \frac{x_{Br}}{y_{rj}}$$

{Since,  $\hat{c}_{Br} = c_j$ }

$$= Z_0 - (c_j - c_j) \cdot \frac{x_{Br}}{y_{rj}}$$

$$> Z_0 \quad \{ \text{Since } x_{Br}/y_{rj} > 0 \}$$

Hence, The new basic feasible solution  $\hat{x}_B$  gives an improved value of the objective function.

Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Page No. \_\_\_\_\_

Theorem 2- If a linear programming problem,  
 $\text{Max. } Z = \bar{c} \bar{x}$

such that,  $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ ,  $\bar{x} \geq 0$ , has an optimal feasible solution, then at least one basic feasible solution must be optimal.

Proof- Let  $Z_0 = \bar{c}_B \cdot \bar{x}_B$  with  $\bar{x}_B = B^{-1} \bar{b}$ , be a basic feasible solution to the problem.

Now, to show that, The basic feasible solution is optimal, we are required to prove  $Z_0 \geq Z^*$  where  $Z^*$  is the optimal value, given by,

$$Z^* = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \cdot x_j.$$

The constraint equation  $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$  can be expressed as,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \cdot x_j = \bar{b} \quad (\text{i})$$

Since, any vector  $a_j \in A$  can be expressed as a linear combination of vectors in  $B$ , i.e.

$$a_j = \sum_{i=1}^m y_{ij} \beta_i \quad (\text{ii})$$

Substituting (ii) in (i); we get,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \left( \sum_{i=1}^m y_{ij} \beta_i \right) x_j = \bar{b}.$$

$$\text{or, } \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^N y_{ij} x_j \right) \beta_i = \bar{b}$$

Since,  $\bar{x}_B = B^{-1} \bar{b}$  is the basic feasible solution.  
 Teacher's Signature:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_{Bi} \cdot B_i = b$$

Any vector can be uniquely expressed as a function of its basis vectors,

Therefore

$$\bar{x}_B = \sum_{i=1}^m x_{Bi} \cdot B_i$$

The optimality condition is

$$z_j - c_j \geq 0 \quad \& \quad x_j \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^N x_j \cdot z_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \cdot x_j = z^* \quad (\text{iii})$$

But, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \cdot z_j &\geq \sum_{j=1}^N x_i \left( \sum_{i=1}^m c_{Bi} \cdot y_{ij} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^N x_i \cdot y_{ij} \right) c_{Bi} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_{Bi} \cdot c_{Bi} = z_0 \quad (\text{iv}) \end{aligned}$$

So, From (iii) & (iv),

$$z_0 \geq z^*$$

Thus, The basic feasible solution is optimal.

Theorem 3 - If  $X$  is any feasible solution to the primal problem &  $W$  is any feasible solution

To the dual problem, then  $\bar{C}\bar{X} \leq \bar{b}^T \bar{W}$  i.e.  $z_n \leq z_w$

Alternative Statement - Given problems are;

$$AX \leq \bar{b}, \bar{X} \geq \bar{0}; \text{ Max. } z_n = \bar{C}\bar{X} \quad (i)$$

$$A^T \bar{W} \geq \bar{C}^T, \bar{W} \geq \bar{0}; \text{ Min. } z_w = \bar{b}^T \bar{W} \quad (ii)$$

Then, for any feasible solution  $\bar{X}$  to (i) and any feasible solution  $\bar{W}$  to (ii),  $\bar{C}\bar{X} \leq \bar{b}^T \bar{W}$  i.e.  $z_n \leq z_w$ .

Proof - Let  $\bar{X}$  &  $\bar{W}$  be any feasible solutions to the primal and the dual problems respectively.

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{C}\bar{X} &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} \cdot w_i \right) x_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \cdot b_i = \bar{b}^T \bar{W} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \bar{C}\bar{X} \leq \bar{b}^T \bar{W} \text{ or } z_n \leq z_w.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 6.6.** If the  $k$ th constraint of the primal is an equality, then the dual variable  $w_k$  is unrestricted in sign. [Meerut 90]

**Proof.** As pointed out earlier, if the  $k$ th constraint is an equality, then we can write the primal in the form:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \dots + c_nx_n = z \text{ (max.)} \\ a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n \leq b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n \leq b_2 \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ a_{k1}x_1 + a_{k2}x_2 + \dots + a_{kn}x_n \leq b_k \\ -a_{k1}x_1 - a_{k2}x_2 - \dots - a_{kn}x_n \leq -b_k \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n \leq b_m \end{array} \right\} \dots(6.22)$$

so that its dual is given by

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + \dots + b_k(w_k' - w_k'') + \dots + b_mw_m = z_w \text{ (min.)} \\ a_{11}w_1 + a_{21}w_2 + \dots + a_{k1}(w_k' - w_k'') + \dots + a_{m1}w_m \geq c_1 \\ a_{12}w_1 + a_{22}w_2 + \dots + a_{k2}(w_k' - w_k'') + \dots + a_{m2}w_m \geq c_2 \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ a_{1n}w_1 + a_{2n}w_2 + \dots + a_{kn}(w_k' - w_k'') + \dots + a_{mn}w_m \geq c_n. \end{array} \right\} \dots(6.23)$$

If we replace  $(w_k' - w_k'')$  by a new variable  $w_k$ , then we observe that the system (6.23) becomes equivalent to (6.2) except that  $w_k$  is unrestricted in sign.

**Theorem 6.7.** If the  $p$ th variable of the primal is unrestricted in sign, the  $p$ th constraint of the dual is an equality.

**Proof.** Let the given primal be of the form :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max. } z_x &= c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \dots + c_p x_p + \dots + c_n x_n \\ \text{subject to } a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1p}x_p + \dots + a_{1n}x_n &\leq b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2p}x_p + \dots + a_{2n}x_n &\leq b_2 \\ \vdots &\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mp}x_p + \dots + a_{mn}x_n &\leq b_m \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n \geq 0$ , but  $x_p$  is unrestricted in sign.

Since the variable  $x_p$  (unrestricted in sign) can always be expressed as the difference of two non-negative variables, say  $x_p'$  and  $x_p''$ , therefore  $x_p$  can be replaced by  $(x_p' - x_p'')$  in the above primal problem.

Thus, we have the new primal of the form :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max. } z_x &= c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \dots + c_p(x_p' - x_p'') + \dots + c_n x_n, \text{ subject to} \\ a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1p}(x_p' - x_p'') + \dots + a_{1n}x_n &\leq b_1 \\ \vdots &\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mp}(x_p' - x_p'') + \dots + a_{mn}x_n &\leq b_m, \\ x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p', x_p'', \dots, x_n &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

where

It's dual is given by, Min.  $z_w = b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + \dots + b_m w_m$ , subject to

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}w_1 + a_{21}w_2 + \dots + a_{m1}w_m \geq c_1 \\ a_{12}w_1 + a_{22}w_2 + \dots + a_{m2}w_m \geq c_2 \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ a_{1p}w_1 + a_{2p}w_2 + \dots + a_{mp}w_m \geq c_p \\ -a_{1p}w_1 - a_{2p}w_2 - \dots - a_{mp}w_m \geq -c_p \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\ a_{1n}w_1 + a_{2n}w_2 + \dots + a_{nn}w_m \geq c_n \end{cases}$$

### 6.5.3 Complementary Slackness Theorem

In this section, we shall make a further effort to relate the primal and dual formulations of linear programming problem. The following theorem (called the *complementary slackness theorem*) shows the intimate relation between primal and the dual.

**Theorem 6.11. (Complementary Slackness Theorem)** For the optimal feasible solutions of the primal and dual systems,

- (i) if the inequality occurs in the  $i$ th relation of either system (the corresponding slack or surplus variable  $x_{n+i}$  is +ive), then the  $i$ th variable  $w_i$  of its dual is zero, i.e.  $w_i = 0$ ;
- (ii) if the  $j$ th variable  $x_j$  is +ive in either system, the  $j$ th dual constraint holds as a strict equality (i.e. the corresponding slack or surplus variable  $w_{m+j}$  is zero).

**Proof.** Since the primal objective function in explicit form can be written as

$$c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \dots + c_nx_n = z_x \text{ (max.)} \quad \dots(6.24)$$

and the dual objective function can be written as

$$b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + \dots + b_mw_m = z_w \text{ (min.)} \quad \dots(6.25)$$

Also, the primal constraint-inequalities can be written as equations after introducing the non-negative slack variables  $x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{n+m}$  as follows :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n + x_{n+1} & = b_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n + x_{n+m} & = b_m \end{array} \quad \dots(6.26)$$

Similarly, the dual-inequalities can be written as equations after introducing the non-negative surplus variables  $w_{m+1}, w_{m+2}, \dots, w_{m+n}$  as follows :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_{11}w_1 + \dots + a_{1m}w_m - w_{m+1} & = c_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{nn}w_1 + \dots + a_{nm}w_m - w_{m+n} & = c_n \end{array} \quad \dots(6.27)$$

Now, multiplying the equations in (6.26) by  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ , respectively, and adding the resultant set, we get

$$x_1 \sum_{i=1}^m a_{i1}w_i + x_2 \sum_{i=1}^m a_{i2}w_i + \dots + x_n \sum_{i=1}^m a_{in}w_i + x_{n+1}w_1 + x_{n+2}w_2 + \dots + x_{n+m}w_m = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i b_i \quad \dots(6.28)$$

Next, we subtract (6.28) from (6.24) and obtain

$$(c_1 - \sum_{i=1}^m a_{i1}w_i)x_1 + (c_2 - \sum_{i=1}^m a_{i2}w_i)x_2 + \dots + (c_n - \sum_{i=1}^m a_{in}w_i)x_n - w_1x_{n+1} - w_2x_{n+2} - \dots - w_mx_{n+m}$$

$$= (z_x - \sum_{i=1}^m w_i b_i) \quad \dots(6.29)$$

But, from (6.25), we have

$$z_w = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i b_i \quad \dots(6.30)$$

and from (6.27), we have

$$-w_{m+j} = c_j - (a_{1j} w_1 + a_{2j} w_2 + \dots + a_{mj} w_m) \text{ or } -w_{m+j} = c_j - \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} w_i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad \dots(6.31)$$

Now, using the results (6.30) and (6.31), eqn. (6.29) becomes

$$-(w_{m+1}x_1 + w_{m+2}x_2 + \dots + w_{m+n}x_n) - (w_1x_{n+1} + w_2x_{n+2} + \dots + w_mx_{n+m}) = z_x - z_w. \quad \dots(6.32)$$

If  $\mathbf{x}^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)$  and  $\mathbf{w}^* = (w_1^*, w_2^*, \dots, w_m^*)$  be the optimum solutions to the primal and dual problems respectively, then

$$z_x^* = z_w^* \quad \dots(6.33)$$

as claimed by the *Duality Theorem*.

Thus, for these optimum solutions and corresponding slack surplus variables

$$x_{n+i}^* \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m) \text{ and } w_{m+j}^* \geq 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

the equation (6.32) becomes

$$-(w_{m+1}^* x_1^* + w_{m+2}^* x_2^* + \dots + w_{m+n}^* x_n^*) - (w_1^* x_{n+1}^* + \dots + w_m^* x_{n+m}^*) = 0 \quad [\text{from (6.33)}] \quad \dots(6.34)$$

Since all the variables in (6.34) are restricted to be non-negative, all the product terms of (6.34) are also non-negative, and for the validity of (6.34), each term must individually be equal to zero.

Thus,  $w_{m+j}^* x_j^* = 0$ , and  $w_i^* x_{n+i}^* = 0$ , for all  $i$  and  $j$ .

(a) Now, if  $w_{m+j}^* > 0$ , we must have  $x_j^* = 0$ ; if  $x_{n+i}^* > 0$ , then  $w_i^* = 0$ , which proves the first part of the theorem.

(b) If  $x_j^* > 0$ , then  $w_{m+j}^* = 0$ , i.e., the  $j$ th constraint of dual problem is strict equality; if  $w_i^* > 0$ , then  $x_{n+i}^* = 0$ , i.e., the  $i$ th primal constraint is strict equality, which proves the second part of the theorem.

Hence the theorem is now completely established.

*Alternative Statement*